

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/895,050	Applicant(s) PERBOST, MICHEL G. M.	
	Examiner Gary Jones	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) Gary Jones.

(2) Dianne Rees(Reg. # 45281).

Date of Interview: 29 December 2004

Status of Application: Board Decision Rendered

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 29-35

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


W. Gary Jones
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600

(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)
--------------------------	---

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Jones indicated that in light of the Board Decision, apparatus claims 29-31, 34 and 35 are allowable. However, the application would be reopened and a new office action would be rendered on the computer program product claims 32-33. The attorney agreed to cancel claims 32-32 without prejudice by examiner's amendment in order to place the application into condition for allowance.